

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

FAIRHAVEN TOWN CLERK
RCUD 2026 SEP 17 PM 1:55

Present

Brian Wotton, Commissioner
Robert Hobson, Commissioner
Anne Morton Smith, Commissioner
Hillary Rotondo, Commissioner
Marcus Ferro, Commissioner
Vincent Furtado, BPW Superintendent
Rebecca Vento, Business Manager
Josh Crabb, Highway Superintendent
Jeff Osuch
Ian Catlow, Tighe and Bond
Barbara Acksen, Board of Health
Justine Frezza, Board of Health
David Flaherty, Board of Health Agent
Marsha Shay, 4 Buxton Street
Dan Lopes, 12 Bernese Street
Michael Rotondo, BMX Pump Track

I. Call to Order

Mr. Wotton called the meeting to order at 5:33 p.m.

II. Routine Matters

A. Signing of Departmental Bills

III. Approval of Minutes

A. August 3, 2026

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve the minutes of August 3, 2026. Ms. Smith seconded. Roll call Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

IV. Appointments

A. Interview / Hire Highway Department Laborer

Mr. Furtado – Mr. Francis has been with us for the past few months. He was hired as summer help, and Josh and I are recommending that the Board hire him as a Laborer in the Highway Department. We would like to see him eventually obtain his CDL license.

Mr. Hobson – I have seen him working throughout Town, and I think it is great that he is interested in eventually obtaining his CDL license.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Mr. Crabb – Mr. Francis has been a great addition to the team in the short time that he has been with us.

Ms. Smith motioned to hire Zachary Francis as a Laborer in the Highway Department at Grade 4, Step 4, pending completion of pre-employment screenings. Mr. Ferro seconded. Roll Call Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro, and Ms. Rotondo voted in favor.

B. Board of Health / Town Administrator

1. Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades

Mr. Furtado – The last time I attended a Board of Health meeting, some of the neighbors expressed concerns about hydrogen sulfide gas and requested that meters be installed along the western fence. Mr. Catlow, our engineer, is also here to answer any questions.

Ms. Acksen – One of the resolutions that the Board of Health came up with was to install a permanent odor monitoring system for hydrogen sulfide around the perimeter of the treatment plant facility, along with weather monitoring and public reporting of the monitoring data. Residents are concerned that even after construction is complete, there may still be odor issues. Many residents have been dealing with this issue for decades. With that being said, I think this is something we would like to support, and we would like to discuss what types of instruments could be used. We have looked into grants but have been unable to identify any funding opportunities at this time. People are also concerned about the settings on the hydrogen sulfide monitoring equipment. According to the CDC, exposure levels should be below 50 parts per million, and people are concerned that even moderate exposure could result in a loss of the sense of smell.

Mr. Furtado – All of our monitors are currently set at 10 parts per million, and I would have to verify what the acceptable exposure limits are.

Ms. Acksen – Another concern is whether the sensors are operating continuously.

Mr. Wotton – The monitors are located on the building, and personnel also carry personal monitors. If the levels become elevated, they would be notified that conditions are not safe. My question is, if the employees who are here around the clock are wearing monitors and there are monitors on the building, what would a monitor located 100 yards away tell us? Wouldn't the monitors on the building detect elevated levels before a monitor located 100 yards away?

Ms. Acksen – I think some of the concerns were whether wind and temperature could affect the monitors and whether those conditions could affect monitors that are located farther away.

Mr. Wotton – I am not arguing that we do not want additional monitoring. My question is, if we already have monitors in place, why do we need additional monitors farther away at the property line?

Ms. Acksen – There are questions about whether weather or other variables could impact the readings. Maybe we should consult with the engineers.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Mr. Wotton – I am not debating the need for monitoring. I am just trying to understand what additional monitors outside of the immediate area would provide that the monitors currently on site do not.

Ms. Acksen – One way to test that would be to place additional monitors farther away and compare the measurements to see if they are recording the same levels at the same time.

Ms. Shay – I am a nurse, and there can be long-term effects. The issue is not just the parts-per-million levels to which workers are exposed. Over a long period of time, such as weeks, months, or even years, people living in the area could experience long-term health effects from exposure, which could include a sore throat, vomiting, or fatigue. I do not believe these devices are that costly, and they would allow us to monitor long-term exposure.

Mr. Furtado – We have approximately 50 people working on the upgrade of the plant. With all of these employees working in different areas, you would think that if someone were being affected, it would be someone working on site.

Mr. Espinola – Previously, we asked for two things. We asked the BPW to identify what the threshold was, which you did. We did not ask you to determine or elaborate on what constitutes a safe limit. That is what we are asking the Board of Health to do and to look into what is appropriate to protect the long-term health of the neighbors who may be exposed. What precipitated this, more than anything, was that we previously sat in this room because conditions were horrible for a couple of weeks. People were complaining about the smell, but the meters were not going off. That makes us question whether the correct equipment is being used.

Mr. Furtado – I will have to verify this, but hydrogen sulfide at high concentrations can deaden the olfactory senses, meaning that you would no longer be able to smell it. The ability to smell it is a warning. That would lead me to believe that the levels were less than 10 parts per million, or the alarms would have been going off.

Mr. Espinola – Could the Town commit to a permanent solution for odor control?

Mr. Furtado – The new plant has permanent odor control.

Mr. Hobson – I think we should find out how much these additional devices are going to cost and whether we have the funds to purchase additional monitors to put these residents at ease.

Mr. Ferro – If we add the additional sensors, would there be any operational interference or impact on the day-to-day operations?

Mr. Catlow – I would like to correct a couple of things that have come up. All of the hydrogen sulfide monitoring at the facility is located inside the building or inside the vestibule leading into the building, and it is there to protect the life safety of the workers. The monitors are set at 10 parts per million, which is the factory-set point for these devices. As someone previously mentioned, and I just want to put it into context, you can begin to smell hydrogen sulfide-related odors at approximately 0.0005 parts per million. By the time it reaches approximately 5 parts per million, it can become irritating to people, based on the literature. With that said, you can purchase an OdorLog monitor for approximately \$3,000.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

It could be set up outside the plant, and the data could be downloaded and compared with odor complaints or complaint reports. It is difficult to find an instrument that will reliably measure below 1 part per million. The technology is not currently available to reliably measure extremely low levels. The monitoring itself is relatively easy, but obtaining monitors capable of accurately measuring those low levels could be difficult and costly.

Ms. Shay – Maybe we could set the sensor at 1 part per million and track it over time, then correlate the readings with any health concerns reported in the area. It may not need to be set to trigger an alarm; instead, it could simply send the data to a database for the Board of Health to track the levels associated with complaints.

Mr. Lopes – Just a quick background, I am a health and safety professional with more than 10 years of experience. I just wanted to confirm and agree with what Mr. Catlow stated, you are going to smell hydrogen sulfide long before you get anywhere close to the occupational exposure limits. Second, something to consider, since we are looking at using data, is exposure monitoring through air sampling by an accredited laboratory. You would simply place pumps in designated locations for approximately 4 to 8 hours and then collect the data to determine what levels are being reached. The benefit is that these methods can typically detect much lower thresholds.

Mr. Espinola – One other thing we hoped to gain from this is real-time feedback from the operation, since we were told to report the issues so they can be addressed. Our hope is that real-time monitoring could be used to remedy an issue sooner by showing when a problem is occurring.

Ms. Frezza – I think the point is to have a monitor in place that is constantly monitoring, regardless of what the set limit is. Over time, we want to see what long-term effects the odor and gas may have on the residents in the area and for the Board of Health to be able to assist with that. That is our job. If residents are being exposed to something, we should be proactive and make sure the appropriate measures are in place. You have monitors for the employees to make sure they are safe, but there are no monitors on the perimeter to help monitor conditions for the neighbors. One of the neighbors alluded to changes in wind, weather, and rain, all of which can change where the odor travels and where it comes from. I would like to be proactive instead of reactive. Now that the trees are gone as a buffer, we are being reactive because the trees are gone.

Mr. Wotton – The treatment plant is an active construction site. Tanks were taken down, new tanks were installed, and operations were being shifted in different directions, which is why the odor was higher than normal. Once the construction activity is complete, the odor should go away.

Mr. Hobson – I feel that we should get the monitors if they are reasonably priced.

Ms. Smith – I agree with Mr. Hobson and feel that we should obtain the monitors for the Board of Health to use to determine whether there are extended periods of exposure at levels below 10 parts per million that could potentially affect people. If we install the monitors and find that these levels are lower but are still affecting people's health, what are we going to do in that case? We cannot shut down the plant.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Mr. Wotton – I feel these monitors are for observational purposes only, and the plant will not be shut down as long as the plant remains in compliance.

Ms. Smith – I think we need to establish parameters because I believe there are people here who will want to know the information on a daily basis. We also need to determine how frequently these devices will be monitored—daily, weekly, or even monthly.

Mr. Furtado – Generally speaking, if you can smell it, you are better off than if you cannot, because when you cannot smell it, it can become dangerous.

Ms. Frezza – I think that, with the data, the Board of Health can determine how often we review it, how we will make the information available to the public, and what we will do with the information. We would need to determine how we will monitor the data and how frequently we will make the data available.

Mr. Catlow – The OdorLog instrument we are looking at allows you to retrieve the data by connecting it to a USB port. It also has some Bluetooth capability, but it is not a real-time system. You would need to develop a protocol for how frequently the data would be monitored and downloaded.

Mr. Espinola – I would like to ask Mr. Catlow if there is an output capability that could alert the operations staff.

Mr. Catlow – No. The unit we are discussing is not hardwired. It logs the information onto a card, and all of the data is time-stamped. The data would need to be downloaded in order to review it.

Mr. Espinola – I would recommend that you look into a system with a live output capability before we spend the money.

Mr. Wotton – It would depend on whether the technology is available. We have heard what you are looking for, and we will ask Mr. Catlow to conduct some research.

2. West Island Sewer Bylaw / Title 5 Review

Mr. Furtado – We put this on the agenda because the Town Administrator asked that, given the number of active building permits currently outstanding, we look into approaching the DCR to determine whether they would allow additional homes to tie into the West Island system. I do not believe this will be allowed since we are currently at our capacity limit. There was a plan established many years ago, when we received the land from the State, to tie into the groundwater system. In case anyone has any questions, we asked Mr. Osuch to attend the meeting to provide additional information and answer any questions.

Mr. Flaherty – In lieu of obtaining assistance from DCR, the Board of Health should also consider reviewing the septic system regulations to accommodate a greater environmental and groundwater impact. The issue is that there are many lots that are considered buildable, and if we do not allow the owners to develop the full extent of their property, it could be considered a taking, and the Town would

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

be required to pay fair market value for the property. I do not think anyone wants that. I think a good compromise would be to establish new regulations requiring denitrification systems for new and upgraded septic systems, particularly on West Island. This would allow for slightly smaller septic system footprints while providing a greater reduction in the amount of nitrogen being introduced into the groundwater. We could also consider expanding this approach to the lower portions of Sconticut Neck near the coastal areas. I have received a copy of Marion's regulations, as they have regulations for innovative and alternative systems. Under their program, homeowners enroll in a monitoring program that requires sampling of the systems to ensure they are meeting the established nitrogen reduction goals, along with annual operation and maintenance to ensure the systems are functioning properly. I feel that we need to get ahead of this issue and demonstrate that we are taking appropriate steps to protect the environment and assist the residents.

Mr. Ferro – This issue was present when I first joined the Board six years ago. We had discussions about it at that time, and we cannot build beyond the capacity established in the agreement, which is almost 30 years old. I am not familiar with the measures you are discussing that homeowners would be required to undertake, but it sounds expensive and burdensome for the homeowner.

Mr. Flaherty – It is expensive. It is more expensive than a standard Title V inspection.

Mr. Ferro – I am wondering, given the State's current direction, whether we should approach the DCR since we do have capacity and the agreement is somewhat old and perhaps outdated. We could see whether they would be willing to reconsider the agreement and entertain additional connections.

Mr. Hobson – I do not understand how some of these lots did not pass previously, but are passing now.

Mr. Flaherty – I can only speak to what I have seen over the past five years, but I do not have information regarding what occurred prior to that.

Mr. Hobson – I do not understand how people on West Island are adding ADUs.

Mr. Flaherty – They can connect an ADU to a septic system, but they cannot connect an ADU to the sewer. If we allow new builds that were previously denied the ability to connect to the sewer, what about the properties that were denied in the past and were required to install septic systems?

Mr. Osuch explained to everyone in attendance the history of West Island, the West Island Sewer Bylaws, and the process that was undertaken to obtain DCR approval for the installation of the sewer system on West Island.

**C. Fairhaven Pickleball & Fairhaven Tennis Association – Modifications to Livesey
Park Tennis / Pickleball Court**

Mr. Furtado – Typically, when the Pickleball or Tennis Association applies for CPC grants, the BPW spearheads the project. Our concerns internally stemmed from the funding source, which is CPC. There were emails between Mr. Crabb and your organizations, and I was under the impression that the committees were going to fund any overages, as they have done in the past. Since there were

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

modifications to the original application request, and because this involves CPC funding and changes to the original proposal, we want to make sure everyone is on the same page.

Mr. Wotton – I have a question regarding the conversion of the tennis court. Is this simply an overlay of lines, or are you looking to eliminate a tennis court?

Mr. Pottel – The Fairhaven Tennis Association (FTA) would like to eliminate the pickleball lines from the tennis courts at Cushman Park. Considering the number of members in the Fairhaven Pickleball Association (FPA) versus the FTA, we agreed to remove three of the blended-line courts at Cushman Park, which are now tennis-only courts. The courts were resurfaced, and the blended lines were removed. Since that was completed, we would like to take one of the tennis court at Livesey Park and convert it to two pickleball courts. This would benefit both organizations, which is why we are here tonight.

Mr. Wotton – That makes sense. Instead of having the courts divided between two parks, pickleball would remain at one park and tennis would remain at the other.

Mr. Pottel – We would also like to add two 4-foot fences between the tennis courts, closest to Main Street. We can pay for the fencing. This would help prevent players from having to chase balls between the courts.

Mr. Wotton – The BPW will take a vote on whether to allow the proposed changes to the courts. You would also need to go before CPC to address the changes, as they are providing the funding for the project.

Mr. Pottel – If CPC approves this, I would like to ask that the RFP go out immediately.

Mr. Furtado – Is the current RFP ready to move forward if CPC approves the changes?

Mr. Crabb – As soon as everyone agrees to the proposed changes, I can finalize the RFP and get it scheduled. We are looking at approximately six to eight weeks before the RFP is opened. The fencing and removal of the blended lines would be included as add alternates.

Ms. Smith – CPC has also not completed the park assessment, and we have a public meeting scheduled for September.

Mr. Pottel – We lost three pickleball courts.

Ms. Smith – Those changes did not come before the Board. I think we need to honor the park assessment, since we told the community that they would have an opportunity to provide feedback on what they would like to see and what they would like to have in the parks.

Mr. Wotton – How many tennis courts are at HMS?

Mr. Pottel – Four.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Mr. Wotton – Are they open to the public?

Mr. Pottel – Yes.

Mr. Wotton – So, we have ten tennis courts: four at Cushman Park, four at Fort Phoenix, and two at Livesey Park. For pickleball, we have four courts at Livesey Park, two at Fort Phoenix, and two at Cushman Park.

Mr. Wotton motioned to approve the revision of the RFP to convert one tennis court at Livesey Park into two pickleball courts as an add alternate, pending CPC approval. Mr. Ferro seconded. Roll Call Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro, and Ms. Rotondo voted in favor.

D. Mike Rotondo – Opening Day BMX Pump Track Macomber Park

Mr. Wotton – It looks like they started work there today, and it appears that you are looking for approval to hold an event there on opening day.

Ms. Rotondo – Yes, DirtSculpt is the contractor doing the work. They think they will be able to complete the work quickly once they scrape off the topsoil and the dirt is exposed.

Mr. Wotton – We are all really excited about this. The date is to be determined once the track is complete.

Ms. Rotondo – I was hoping to get a blanket approval to host an opening day event for the new track. I am thinking of having a friend of mine put on a bicycle stunt show. He is willing to come out and perform at no cost. I also spoke with Dough and Basil, and they are willing to participate. We would also have someone available to conduct bicycle safety checks and raffle off a bicycle, with the proceeds going to Genesis.

Mr. Hobson – I would also like to see if I can contact the family members of the Macomber boys, after whom the park is named, to see if they would like to attend.

Mr. Wotton motioned to allow the Opening Day Event for the BMX Track at Macomber Park once the track is completed, with the date to be determined. Ms. Smith seconded.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro, and Ms. Rotondo voted in favor.

V. Items for Action

**A. Dig It Construction, Glenhaven Avenue Water Main Improvement Project,
Payment #3 \$69,503.90**

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve Dig It Construction, Glenhaven Avenue Water Main Improvement Project, Payment #3 in the amount of \$69,503.90. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

B. Committee to Elect Andrew Romano, End of Summer Social at Livesey Park on September 13, 2026

Ms. Smith motioned to approve Committee to Elect Andrew Romano, End of Summer Social at Livesey Park on September 13, 2026. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

C. Tata & Howard, Risk & Resilience Assessment, Invoice #8 \$4,573.04

Mr. Ferro motioned to approve Tata & Howard, Risk & Resilience Assessment, Invoice #8 in the amount of \$4,573.04. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

D. Tata & Howard, Wolf Island Well Generator Replacement, Invoice #1 \$4,550.00

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve Tata & Howard, Wolf Island Well Generator Replacement, Invoice #1 in the amount of \$4,573.04. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

E. Tata & Howard, 2026 ERP Update, Invoice #1 \$1,050.00

Ms. Smith motioned to approve Tata & Howard, 2026 ERP Update, Invoice #1 in the amount of \$1,050.00. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

F. FTI Consulting, Peer Review WPCF Upgrades, Payment #7 \$32,664.11

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve FTI Consulting, Peer Review WPCF Upgrades, Payment #7 in the amount of \$32,664.11. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

G. Recycle Center – Policy & Fines

Mr. Furtado – For the first time since I have been here, we had someone enter the Recycling Center when it was closed by driving through the employee entrance and dumping brush. Mr. Crabb and I placed this item on the agenda to see if the Board would like to issue a fine. The individual's permit was revoked, and the permit was reissued a few days later.

Mr. Wotton recommended that Mr. Crabb and Mr. Furtado draft a policy for discussion and consideration at a future meeting.

H. TEC, Inc. Sconticut Neck Road Corridor Improvements, Change Order #1 (Time Only)

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve TEC, Inc. Sconticut Neck Road Corridor Improvements, Change Order #2, extending the contract deadline to December 31, 2027. Mr. Ferro seconded. Roll Call Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro, and Ms. Rotondo voted in favor.

I. North-Eastern Tree Services – FY27 On-Call Tree Services

1. Sign Contract Agreement

Mr. Hobson motioned to sign the Contract Agreement with North-Eastern Tree Services for FY27 On-Call Tree Services. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

2. Sign Notice to Proceed

Mr. Hobson motioned to sign the Notice to Proceed with North-Eastern Tree Services for FY27 On-Call Tree Services. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

J. Methuen Construction, WPCF Upgrades, Payment #32 \$863,836.29

Ms. Smith motioned to approve Methuen Construction, WPCF Upgrades, Payment #32 in the amount of \$863,836.29. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

K. Dep Pay Req #32 (CWP-22-67) \$863,836.00

Mr. Ferro motioned to approve DEP Pay Req #32 (CWP-22-67) in the amount of \$863,836.00. Mr. Hobson seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

L. Tighe & Bond, WPCF Upgrades, Payment #34 \$127,105.25

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve Tighe & Bond, WPCF Upgrades, Payment #34 in the amount of \$127,105.25. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

M. DEP Pay Req #28A (CWP-22-67A) \$127,105.00

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve DEP Pay Req #28A (CWP-22-67A) in the amount of \$127,105.00. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

N. Synagro Contract Extension

Mr. Furtado – This amendment is to extend the current contract we have with Synagro through the end of the year. We may eventually need to find another vendor within the next four to five years.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

Ms. Smith motioned to sign the Contract Extension with Synagro through December 31, 2026. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

O. Southcoast Health, Nicole Podkowa Annual 5K, Sunday, October 4, 2026

Mr. Hobson motioned to approve the Southcoast Health, Nicole Podkowa Annual 5K on Sunday, October 4, 2026. Ms. Smith seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

P. Memorial Benches

Ms. Smith motioned to table the discuss of Memorial Benches to a future meeting. Mr. Ferro seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

Q. Dirtsculpt, Macomber Park BMX Pump Track, Payment #1 \$13,500.00

Mr. Ferro motioned to approve Dirtsculpt, Macomber Park BMX Pump Track, Payment #1 in the amount of \$13,500.00. Mr. Wotton seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

VI. Tabled Matters

A. Approval of Donation Sign - Bike Pump and Tools for Rail Trail

Mr. Ferro motioned to remove the approval of donation sign for a bike pump and tools for the rail trail until we receive more information. Mr. Wotton seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Mr. Ferro and Ms. Rotondo in favor.

VII. Public Comments / Open Forum

VIII. Old Business / New Business

A. Superintendent

Superintendent Report for August 17, 2026

- Work with Highway Union on a number of outstanding issues - all agreed upon pending signature
- Attend Selectboard Meeting re Town and Special Counsel
- Work with Bond Counsel re various borrowing Articles
- Meet with CZM re drainage project near Middle and Main Streets

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

- *West Island Sewer Meeting*
- *Department Head Meeting*
- *POTW Substantial Completion*
- *Chair MRV Meeting*
- *Frederick St email and water tie-in meeting*
- *Synagro Rep contract extension complete*
- *POTW Progress Meeting*
- *POTW PCO Meeting*
- *POTW Contractor Schedule Review Meeting*
- *Reissued Delano Permit*
- *Union issue with Highway - HR involved*
- *Union issue with Sewer - resolved*
- *Cell Tower on tank status inquiry*

Mr. Furtado answered any questions the Board had regarding the Superintendent Report.

A. Board Members

Mr. Hobson – Union Wharf has a lot of weeds. Will the pole on the Causeway that was removed be reinstalled?

Mr. Crabb – Yes, we are waiting for a proposal to have the pole reinstalled.

Ms. Smith – The weeds on the Main Street side of the Cushman Park parking lot are out of control. If we have a Special Town Meeting, does the Board want to pursue making the beach a revolving fund?

Mr. Furtado – I do not think it is a bad idea.

Ms. Smith – The ribbon cutting for the Rail Trail will be held on September 12th.

B. Marine Resources Committee – BPW Related Matters

Mr. Hobson – We have not met since our last meeting.

**Town of Fairhaven
Board of Public Works Meeting
August 17, 2026**

C. Community Preservation Committee – BPW Related Matters

IX. Set Date for the Next Meeting

Mr. Ferro motioned to set the date of the next meeting on August 31, 2026 at 6:00 p.m. Mr. Wotton. seconded. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Ms. Rotondo and Mr. Ferro in favor.

X. Adjourn

Mr. Wotton motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:24 p.m. Mr. Hobson. Rollcall Vote: Mr. Wotton, Mr. Hobson, Ms. Smith, Ms. Rotondo and Mr. Ferro in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Rebecca L Vento

Rebecca Vento
Business Manager

Minutes approved on September 14, 2026.